

Jeremy Cook **et al**
26 Cranwell Road
Greasby
Wirral
CH49 3PP

Chief Constable Serena Kennedy
Merseyside Police
c/o Rose Hill Police Station
15 Cazneau Street
Liverpool
L3 3AN

10 May 2024

Dear **Serena Kennedy**,

URGENT CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

.....

The Fraud Act 2006 provides one general offence of fraud which can be committed in three different ways:

- (i) By **false representation**
- (ii) By **failing to disclose information**
- (iii) By **abuse of position**

The Act also created the key new offence of **obtaining services dishonestly**.

.....

Lord Denning said in *Lazarus Estates Ltd v Beasley*:

“No court in this land will allow a person to keep an advantage which he has obtained by fraud. No judgment of a court, no order of a minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud. Fraud unravels everything. The court is careful not to find fraud unless it is distinctly pleaded and proved; but once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever...”

.....

We write to you in your position as the **Chief Constable of Merseyside Police** on behalf of a large and growing group of residents in the Wirral, to inform you of a situation in our borough that you may or may not be aware of, and that demands your **urgent** and **immediate attention**.

Before we elaborate, we thank you for your offer and would like to **officially accept** your **public oath of office**:

'I [... of...] do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the Queen (/ King) in the office of constable, with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people; and that I will, to the best of my power, cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property; and that while I continue to hold the said office I will, to the best of my skill and knowledge, discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law.'

We expect that you now honour your oath by preserving the peace and preventing all offences against people and property, including the fraud we describe and provide documentary evidence for.

You are now **contractually obliged** by way of our acceptance of your public oath and **duty** bound to act in this matter.

Failure to do so, could lead to the revoking of your **public liability insurance**, leaving you personally liable, and vulnerable, to multiple future claims from residents all over Wirral, as they begin to understand that one of the real causes of their health problems is their over exposure to the non ionising radiation being emitted from these unlawfully installed telecom masts.

We wish to **formally report** to your office **grave** and **serious crimes** that are being committed against the people of the region. It is imperative that the relevant department in your organisation urgently investigates these serious crimes that are placing the **Wirral public at risk**.

We also wish to **formally complain** in the strongest possible terms regarding the conduct of both **Wirral Council Planning Department** and the **Planning Inspectorate** in Bristol, with regards to the **fraudulent granting**, and the **fraudulent allowing** of the granting, of **Prior Approval** for the applications to install **5G** telecommunications apparatus at **6** different locations in Wirral.

We have uncovered **multiple irregularities** and **systemic fraudulent commercial activity** operating, or being facilitated, within both the **planning application process** at **Wirral Council** and the **appeals process** at the **Planning Inspectorate**. We have provided documentary evidence to back these claims up.

It is unknown at this point whether the fraud originated with government or industry figures. Those responsible may be bad or ignorant actors from either sector. Further investigations will reveal that information.

Now that you have been presented with this evidence, it is your **staunch** and **statutory duty**, being a **public sector employee** charged with investigating criminal activity, to exercise the necessary diligence to **recognise**, **investigate** and **prosecute** this **unlawful** and **illegal** criminal behaviour, **without fear** or **favour**, at both **local** and **national** levels of government as well as within sectors of the **telecommunications industry**.

When it is established that our claims of '**fraudulent misrepresentation**' and '**obtaining services** by way of **deception**,' amongst others, are indeed correct, then you are obliged to levy a **Cease** and **Desist** notice on all 5G installations in Wirral, whilst beginning proceedings via the **Crown**

Prosecution Service to immediately prosecute those guilty of these crimes, such is the scale and gravity of this **unlawful** and **illegal commercial behaviour**.

We then seek an urgent **lawful remedy**. It would appear that **quashing** the relevant **permissions**, **de-commissioning** and **removing** the **monopoles** and **associated cabinets** and **restoring** the **lands** to their **original** conditions would be the minimal appropriate remedy in light of these **criminal activities** conducted under the guise of a **public infrastructure project**.

Failure to do so could lead to **public sector** and / or **private sector employees** being accused of facilitating this fraud and allowing the public to be placed at a significant risk. Those found responsible could be charged with some or all the following charges: '**False representation**' '**Failing to disclose information**' '**Abuse of power in public office**' '**Misappropriation of public funds**' '**Gross negligence**' '**Grave dereliction of duty**' '**Misconduct in public office**' '**Malfeasance in public office**' and even '**Misfeasance in public office**.'

As we believe this to be happening all over the UK, an urgent **national criminal investigation** should now be initiated. The **National Crime Agency**, the **Serious Fraud Office** and the **Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities** are also being contacted.

We presently have over **150** telecommunications masts in the Wirral. We have located them, photographed them and measured their emissions. We are unsure as to exactly how many are **5G** ready, be it newly installed, or upgraded, but believe it to be about **35**.

We made ourselves aware of all **5G** planning applications in Wirral throughout **2023**.

To summarise our findings:

Between **12 December 2022** and **31 December 2023**, there were **43** applications for new **5G** installations in Wirral, including **2** upgrades. **2** applications were then subsequently withdrawn.

Of those **41** remaining applications, **Wirral Council** had the good sense to dismiss **35**, whilst **granting** Prior Approval for **6** (**5** installations and **1** upgrade.)

1 telecom company appealed to the **Planning Inspectorate** in an attempt to overturn **6** of those **35** dismissed applications.

The Planning Inspectorate, an executive agency, sponsored by the **Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities**, dismissed **5** of those **6** appeals, and **allowed 1**.

Therefore, this has resulted in permission being **granted** or **allowed** for a total of **7** installations (**6** masts and **1** upgrade) to be deployed in the **Wirral** area in **2023**.

Of those 7 approvals, 2 masts have already been installed, 4 are awaiting installation, and we are unsure if the 1 upgrade has been completed or not.

We would like to draw your attention to 4 important issues regarding our findings.

1.

Of the initial 43 applications submitted between 12 December 2022 and 31 December 2023, 1 was from Arqiva Limited, 1 was from Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited, 2 were from Three UK, 6 were from Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited and 33 were from CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited.

Of the 10 applications from Arqiva, Cornerstone, Three and Cignal, 1 was withdrawn, 2 were **granted** by Wirral Council, with the remaining 7 applications being dismissed. There were some faults and inconsistencies in the planning decision making processes, but all 10 applications were submitted legitimately.

Of the remaining 33 applications from **CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited**, 1 was withdrawn, 4 were **granted** by Wirral Council, with the remaining 28 applications being dismissed.

Of those 28 dismissals by Wirral Council, 6 were appealed by CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited and 1 was subsequently **allowed** by the Planning Inspectorate.

.....

‘CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited’ ceased to trade on 16 November 2022, and began trading as **‘Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited,’** as evidenced by the enclosed document issued by **Companies House**.

.....

Therefore, CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited **did not exist** when **all** of those applications were submitted, appealed or decided.

This means that **all 33** of the CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited applications, including the 4 decisions **granted** by Wirral Council and the 1 successful appeal **allowed** by the Planning Inspectorate were **potentially fraudulent applications and decisions**.

This also means that NO company will hold responsibility for these 5 masts.

The 4 potentially fraudulent **granted** decisions by Wirral Council were numbered:

- ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road
- ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road

ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road
ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way.

The **1** potentially fraudulent decision **allowed** by the Planning Inspectorate was numbered:

ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 – Arrowe Park Road.

Of these **5** applications, only ANTX/22/02163 is sited. The remaining **4** are, as yet, un-sited, although work has begun on the ANTX/23/00211 – 3326909 site on **22 April 2024**.

This is fraud. An **independent** investigation into how this happened is now essential.

Recalling what Lord Denning said:

*“No judgment of a court, no order of a minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud...
...once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever...”*

2.

All of the **33** applications from **CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited** were supported by a certified **Declaration of Conformity** to **ICNIRP** guidelines (as required by the National Planning Policy Framework) issued by a company named ‘**Three UK Limited.**’

4 of the remaining **10** applications for **5G** masts from Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited, Three UK, Arqiva Limited and Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited, were supported by a certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines issued by a company named Three UK Limited.

That is a total of **37** applications made to Wirral Council, **6** of which were also subject to appeal to the Planning Inspectorate, that were supported by a certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines issued by a company named Three UK Limited.

This means that only **6** of the initial **43** applications were supported by a certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines that was issued by a company other than Three UK Limited.

.....

‘**Three UK Limited**’ was **dissolved** on **27 October 2015**, as evidenced by the enclosed document issued by **Companies House**.

.....

Therefore, Three UK Limited **did not exist** when **all** of those applications were submitted, appealed or decided.

This means that **37** of the **43** applications made to Wirral Council, including the **4** decisions **granted** by Wirral Council and **1** appeal **allowed** by the Planning Inspectorate, were supported by a **potentially fraudulent** certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines, a **forged** and **false instrument**, issued by a company named Three UK Limited, that has not existed since **2015**.

Of the **7** successful applications (**6** Council and **1** Inspectorate) only **2** had **legitimate** certification, meaning **5** had certification from Three UK Limited that was **not legitimate**.

When we talk about legitimate certification, we mean that the company that certifies the declaration actually exists. **3** companies – Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited, Arqiva Limited and Cornerstone Telecommunications Infrastructure Limited all existed at the time of the application, and still exist, according to Companies House, and all certified their own equipment. Most people would assume that an **independent** body of expertise would need to certify the equipment, but that is not for us to say. If this is what is deemed **legitimate** at this point, then so be it.

For a legitimate company to use the name of a non-existent company to certify something is bad enough, so for a **non-existent company** to use the name of **another non-existent company**, by way of a **false instrument**, to certify something that guarantees **public safety** is categorically **illegal, unlawful, even lawless**.

This is made worse when we understand that the **forged, false instrument** relates to the **involuntary exposure** of the **general public** to **radiation** (an environmental toxin.)

This also means that there were NO assurances of public safety contained within these 5 approved mast decisions.

It just so happens that these **5 decisions** (**4** by Wirral Council and **1** by the Planning Inspectorate) **are also the same 5** decisions that involve a successful application from a non-existent company, as in issue number **1**.

The **4** potentially fraudulent **granted** decisions by Wirral Council were numbered:

ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road
ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road
ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road
ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way.

The **1** potentially fraudulent decision **allowed** by the Planning Inspectorate was numbered:

ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 – Arrove Park Road.

Of these **5** applications, only **ANTX/22/02163** is presently sited. The remaining **4** are, as yet, unsited, although work has begun on the **ANTX/23/00211 – 3326909** site on **22 April 2024**.

We are compiling the data to document how many successful applications there are going back to **28 October 2015** involving the same potentially fraudulent behaviour in the ICNIRP certification process.

One wonders how many masts have been installed in Wirral over this **9** year period using this fake certification system, in what could amount to an organised system of fraud being perpetrated upon the people of Wirral, leaving them unprotected against over exposure to radiation. We are not yet sure how many there are, but it possibly amounts up to **100** !

This is fraud. An **independent** investigation into how this happened is now essential.

Recalling what Lord Denning said:

*“No judgment of a court, no order of a minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud...
...once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever...”*

3.

We just wish to remind you that the ICNIRP certification process is the **ONLY** assurance of safety given in all **5G** mast applications, and that **NO** safety tests have ever been performed by the telecom industry on the health effects of human exposure to the radiation from 5G’s new technologies.

We wish to point out that the **1** appeal decision **allowed** by the **Planning Inspectorate** had **CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited** as the applicant, **and** had **Three UK Limited** as the guarantor of the certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines.

.....
Both **CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited** and **Three UK Limited** were **not trading** and **did not exist** at the time of the **application**, at the time of the **appeal** or at the time of the **decision**.
.....

This means that the **1** appeal decision **allowed** by the **Planning Inspectorate** had **CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited** as the applicant, **and** had **Three UK Limited** as the guarantor of the certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP guidelines, and was therefore a potentially **fraudulent** decision, as both companies were not trading at the time.

This successful **1 allowed** appeal, numbered **ANTX/23/00211- 3326909** was for a location in **Arrowe Park Road** in Wirral.

The mast will be installed by a non existent company, which means that NO company is responsible for this monopole.

The mast’s safety will be certified by a different non existent company, which means that there are NO assurances of public safety contained within this decision.

This means that the Planning Inspectorate have **allowed** an **appeal** that will result in a **non existent company** installing a **powerful radiation emitting device** some **20** metres from residential properties and shops, and within **200** metres of **3** schools (for which the applicant has **not** provided the requisite NPPF documentation) and then attempting to assure the public's safety with a certified Declaration of Conformity to ICNIRP's exposure guidelines from a **different non existent company**.

This also means that the Planning Inspectorate have complied with the demands of **2** non existent companies.

Our colleagues, and others, who live close to this location, had written to the Inspectorate to inform them of **all** of this information, well in advance of the closing date for representations, and were provided with an acknowledgement. The Planning Inspectorate have seemingly **ignored** the information provided in that communication when it was presented to them, and by allowing the appeal, have placed the local public at a significant risk of harm, as well as given legitimacy to **2** non existent companies.

None of this information formed any part of the Arrowe Park Road judgment (**3326909**) from the Inspectorate. There was a brief mention in the judgment of another of the dismissed cases, **3323131**, where R. Morgan BSc (Hons) MCD MRTPI states in point **17** of **18**:

"I note comments from interested parties that the company referred to on the ICNIRP certificate no longer exists. Had the proposal been acceptable, I would have sought clarification from the appellant on this matter, but as I have dismissed the appeal, it is not necessary to consider this any further."

All of the significant information from this letter that you are reading here was contained in that communication, so by mentioning the ICNIRP certificate in his/her judgment, R Morgan has considered some material from the objection, whilst overlooking other equally critical material, namely that the company making both the application and the appeal did not even exist.

We emailed the Planning Inspectorate on **14 February 2024** to highlight this ongoing and dangerous situation, and are urgently awaiting their full response.

It has now been **12 weeks** and we still do not have that response. A copy of that email is enclosed, along with two responses that delay their full response, including where the Inspectorate question whether the enquiry is even relevant.

This mast is presently un-sited, although work has begun on the **ANTX/23/00211 – 3326909** site on **22 April 2024**.

This is fraud. An **independent** investigation into how this happened is now essential.

Recalling what Lord Denning said:

*"No judgment of a court, no order of a minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud...
...once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever..."*

4.

The **National Planning Policy Framework** (NPPF) sets out the framework for the government's planning policies for England and how they are expected to be applied. It is the **official government guidance document** provided to all councils, and is used to guide planning decisions.

The current **December 2023** revised version updated the previous **July 2021** version. We quote the **2021** version as it was applicable at the time of the applications in question. It's content was unaffected by the revisions, aside from paragraph number changes.

Chapter **10** is entitled '**Supporting High Quality Communications**' and has **5** paragraphs **114-118**.

Paragraph **117** from the **2021** version (**121** from **2023** version) reads:

*'**117.** Applications for electronic communications development (including applications for prior approval under the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence to justify the proposed development. This should include:'*

Then there is an **a**, **b** and **c**.

The **a**. is the relevant subsection and it reads:

*'**117 a.)** The outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed **near** a school or college...'*

This is referring to the conditions for decision making relating to the installation of these radiation emitting masts near primary and secondary schools.

.....

The **6** Wirral Council **Officer Reports** listed below, and enclosed with this letter, **all** state:

*'**117 a.)** The outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed **within** a school or college.'*

.....

In these **6** reports, the word '**within**' has replaced the original NPPF word '**near**.'

In all **4** of the **granted** decisions by Wirral Council, the **1** **allowed** decision by the Inspectorate, **plus** in the **1** granted **Laird Street** decision listed below, this government issued NPPF guidance has undergone a **fraudulent** and **un-authorized** word change that **misrepresents** the guidance and gives the mystery applicant a material commercial advantage.

The Wirral Council **Officer Reports**, which accompanied the acceptance letters, in the **4** decisions **granted**:

ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road
ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road
ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road
ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way

along with the **1** rejected application, that the Planning Inspectorate **allowed** upon appeal:

ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 – Arrowe Park Road

and **1** of the remaining **2** Wirral Council decisions **granted**:

ANTX/23/00905 - Laird Street

all misrepresent paragraph **117a** within the NPPF.

Text from paragraph **117a** has been **changed** without authority.

The **original** NPPF guidance stated masts '**near**' schools require consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development; so therefore prior to this alteration, many masts needed those consultations as there are many masts **near** schools.

After the **un-authorized change**, when '**near**' becomes '**within**,' only schools with a mast proposed actually on the school premises need those consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development. There are very, very few of those applications.

It is only a **small change**, but it makes a **huge difference**, cutting down on the need to perform these extra consultations, and the accompanying risk factor of possible negative outcomes.

It is difficult to be certain who altered this text, nevertheless, the outcome is one of **material gain** for both the **applicant** and the **agent**. Both **Wirral Council's** and the **Planning Inspectorate's lack** of **diligence** in using this **un-authorized** version of the NPPF, a government document, has resulted in the telecom company and agent avoiding significant extra expenses and delays for their applications, as well as eliminating the possibility of a negative consultation, jeopardising the outcome.

Upon confirmation and investigation, whoever is responsible for this simple word change has **committed fraud**, by exhibiting an **intent** to **deceive**, and by **adventently securing** an **advantage** for **commercial** and **political gain**, and by **obtaining services** by **deception**.

The **governments guidance** is being **misrepresented** by **Wirral Council** and by the **Planning Inspectorate**.

This is fraud. An **independent** investigation into how this happened is now essential, as it begs the question - if there exists an **intent to deceive**, has anything else been changed ?

Recalling what Lord Denning said:

“No judgment of a court, no order of a minister, can be allowed to stand if it has been obtained by fraud...

...once it is proved, it vitiates judgments, contracts and all transactions whatsoever...”

For **Wirral Council** and the **Planning Inspectorate**, one of these lapses as a one off could be **excusable** as a mistake, but three of these lapses in multiple applications is **in-excusable, grossly negligent** and **criminal**.

Wirral Council and the Planning Inspectorate have now been **accessories** to this **potential fraud** being perpetrated upon the people of Wirral.

Colleagues and friends inform us that similar occurrences are happening all around the country. Many have written to their own council / LPA, and some have written separately to Companies House and the Planning Inspectorate, receiving replies with a variety of **dismissive** responses.

These planning matters involve **multiple serious criminal activities** which breach the **Fraud Act 2006**.

We have also identified serious breaches of the **Health and Social Care Act 2012** that are occurring within Wirral Council’s jurisdiction, that we are presently documenting for further correspondence.

This is fast becoming an issue of **National Security**, being that **CK Hutchison Holdings Limited**, the parent company of the former company CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited and its successor Cignal Infrastructure UK Limited, is a **Chinese** company (a commercial rival of the UK.)

5G will involve the non secure wireless transfer of billions of gigabytes of data, much of it **commercial**, much of it **confidential** and much of it **sensitive**. To entrust this responsibility to a Chinese entity seems **careless**. To entrust this responsibility to a Chinese entity that **doesn’t exist** seems **careless in the extreme**.

This information could also jeopardise the intended merger between **CK Hutchison Holdings Limited** and **Vodafone Group Plc**, scheduled for late **2024**, and currently under Phase 2 investigation by the **Competition and Markets Authority** (CMA.)

It is vitally important for you to understand the **urgency** of this situation, as it involves the installation of **powerful radiation** emitting devices being placed **closer** and **closer** to residential properties, **schools** and workplaces.

We therefore insist that you investigate the full circumstances that led to these **6 unlawful** decisions being made as a **matter of urgency**.

We are in the process of contacting all the relevant parties, including agencies of state and of media, both local and national, to report our findings.

All of these crimes, with their various perpetrators, are taking place in **your jurisdiction**.

This is a **threat** to the **safety** of the **public** in **Wirral**.

This is **not acceptable**.

We also remind you that we wrote to you in early 2022, attempting to inform you of the real nature of this trojan horse project in a selection of **3** legal notices, whilst also seeking assurances regarding the safety of the public. You failed to provide these assurances as you did not respond to any of the notices, and therefore have been in default on this matter since **1 July 2022**.

Please reply with your full response within the next **10** working days.

Yours sincerely,

J Cook **et al**
All Rights Reserved.

PS.

Your haste in this matter is much appreciated, as on 22 April 2024, an **unknown company**, using **unmarked vehicles**, began work installing the three supporting cabinets for the unlawfully allowed mast at Arrowe Park Road (ANTX/23/00211 / 3326909.)

The actual monopole is not yet sited. The Planning Inspectorate unlawfully allowed this particular mast to go ahead after Wirral Council rejected it.

If our accusations are confirmed, procedures need to be followed and this unlawful and illegal installation will need removing, and the site restored to its previous condition.

**SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTARY
EVIDENCE**

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE:

All evidence is supplied as a hard copy along with the link listed below.

We have supplied the evidence from **Companies House** of the **cessation of CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited** and **Three UK Limited**.

We have also supplied the **Application forms, Officers reports** and **ICNIRP declarations** for all **6** cases listed to illustrate the **identity** of the **applicant**, the **identity** of the **guarantor** of the declaration of conformity and the **evidence** of the **use of un-authorised altered government text**, as well as the **NPPF** document.

We have supplied the **1 allowed judgment** from the appeal at the **Planning Inspectorate**.

We have also supplied the emails to and from the **Planning Inspectorate**.

1. Companies House doc - CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Limited name change Signal - 16 Nov 2022.
<https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/12985914/filing-history>

2. Companies House doc - Three UK Limited dissolution notice 27 Oct 2015.
<https://find-and-update.company-information.service.gov.uk/company/03004157>

3. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) document 2021 version / 2023 updated version.
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework>

4. ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road - Application form

4a. ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road - Officers report

4b. ANTX/22/02163 - Hoylake Road – ICNIRP declaration

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227224>

5. ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 - Arrowse Park Road - Application form

5a. ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 - Arrowse Park Road - Appeal decision - No Officers report available

5b. ANTX/23/00211 - 3326909 - Arrowse Park Road - ICNIRP declaration

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227412>

6. ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road - Application form

6a. ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road - Officers report

6b. ANTX/22/02167 - Dock Road - ICNIRP declaration

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227223>

7. ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road - Application form

7a. ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road - Officers report

7b. ANTX/23/00006 - Barnston Road - ICNIRP declaration

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227319>

8. ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way - Application form

8a. ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way - Officers report

8b. ANTX/23/00008 - Borough Way - ICNIRP declaration

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227284>

9. ANTX/23/00905 – Laird Street - Officers report

<https://online.wirral.gov.uk/planning/index.html?fa=getApplication&id=227976>

10. Emails to and from Planning Inspectorate.