SERIOUS ECONOMIC CRIME

What happens if you refuse a bribe and blow the whistle on serious fraud and corruption?

Leading Members of the Privy Council identified in Planning Fraud Cover-up.

A diary account of injustice and crimes against the state

Summary Brief

Irrefutable evidence of breaches of procedure, fraud and corruption are being suppressed and whitewashed, to hide undisclosed interests in £billions of new property development.

Organisations Involved

Teignbridge District Council

Devon County Council

Constituent MP Mel Stride

Dept. of Housing and Planning (Levelling Up)

Information Commissioners Office ICO

Devon and Cornwall Police

Police and Crime Commissioner Alison Hernandez OPCC

Independent Office of Police Crime IOPC

Planning Inspectorate PINS

Royal Town Planning Institute RTPI

His Majesty's Courts and Tribunal Service HMCTS

Judiciary

All the above bodies are breaching procedure or worse, to prevent exposure of Serious Economic Crime

The following diary extracts follow a trail of corruption from parish council to central government, and beyond.

Corruption in the Planning System

The planning system no longer serves the national interest and regulatory bodies charged with ensuring probity are engaged in the cover up of criminality.

From Parish Council to Central Government Cabinet Office, the planning system is a mystery to the average member of the public. The aim of this document to assist in the understanding of how planning corruption is enabled, and why it has been allowed to flourish.

PREFACE

The following diary/timeline is an individual account of corruption experienced when trying to engage with the planning system. In the face of intimidation, the author Robert has challenged the corruption all the way through Central Government, the Planning Inspectorate, the Information Commissioners Office, the Police and HM Courts and Tribunals Service HMCTS. The conflicts of interest and corruption by officials in high positions of trust that are identified in this report, perfectly reflects the current sad state of a country once proud of its standards, integrity and world standing. The findings are disturbing and expose a level of corruption that stretches far and beyond the awareness of unassuming members of the public.

INTRODUCTION

In spite of repeated warnings of increasing corruption¹ within the planning system, successive Secretaries of State responsible for planning and housing have chosen not to act on evidence of corruption, at great cost and harm to the public interest at large. The failure of successive Tory Governments has left a planning system wide open for corruption, manipulation, and self-enrichment.

In 2017, a former senior policy adviser to PM David Cameron declared that planning corruption was "endemic". He said, "In every corner of the country, you can find stories of bribery, with local councilors and officials rigging the planning process for their own gain". The Robert Jenrick and Richard Desmond scandal in 2020 highlighted breaches of procedure at the very heart of the planning system. i columnist Ian Birrell reported in July 2020, "there is nothing surprising about the scandal (except perhaps the rather small size of Desmond's donation). It shows again the sleazy nexus of money and power that lurks behind the doors of Westminster and Whitehall, one in which rich people can buy access, favours, knighthoods and even seats in the upper house of our parliament – plus a

Regulatory bodies are assisting the cover up of undisclosed interests of individuals and organisations that are beneficiaries of the corruption. The management of the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) and the Royal Town Planning Institute (RTPI) are two examples of regulatory bodies involved are which are largely controlled by former local authority (Council) chiefs who, in acts of cronyism, assist other local authority chiefs to cover-up wrongdoing. In a dereliction of duty, successive Ministers for Housing and Planning are failing to take action on evidence of corruption which is serving both individual and party interests alike.

¹ Corruption in the UK Local Government: The Mounting Risks | Transparency International UK

² Tower Hamlets scandal: Planning corruption 'endemic' in UK (thetimes.co.uk)

planning system that is woefully open to abuse. Every party knows the problem and has been stained by similar scandals" in July 2020, Transparency International published a report titled 'Permission Accomplished'³ which identifies how the planning system is being manipulated to serve individuals, and the lack of anticorruption initiatives to deter the criminal activity. Despite allegations of corruption being commonplace, rarely if ever are details revealed or persons named because there is only one planning system which is a discretionary decision-making process controlled by cronyism. Any whistle blower, be they a planning professional or a private individual, can expect to see their career destroyed or, in the case of a planning applicant, they are blocked for life in a mafiosos system that is incestuous in operation, and which operates to protect selected individual interests.

The trail of corruption begins in Teignbridge District Council, South Devon.

Important Note: Strategic Planning is a long process which can be 20+ years in the making

TIMELINE

PINS = Planning Inspectorate. **RTPI** = Royal Town Planning Institute. **TDC** = Teignbridge District Council **LDF** Local Development Framework **CCD** = Community Council of Devon **PAS** Planning Advisory Service

- 1. 2005 Parish Plan Community Consultation. Funded by Central Government (White Paper) the village and parish of Bickington, where Robert resides, was invited to prepare a Parish Plan to identify the future needs and wishes of the residents. Overseen by the Community Council of Devon (CCD), a multi choice questionnaire was hand delivered to every household inviting responses to a range of matters ranging from the infrastructure and local services to housing supply and housing need. Specifically, the survey sought to identify the type and category of housing that residents saw the need for. No need or desire for development was an option at every stage. The survey was the most successful ever recorded with CCD with a survey return rate of 99% of all residents. Analysis of the results identified that 82% of residents saw the need for development in the village.
- 2. 2005. Misconduct/Fraud. TDC Planning officers refused to acknowledge the official survey as it runs counter to their already predetermined locations for new development. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004) directs that consultations must be carried out before decision making. Important Note: 3 subsequent housing need surveys on Bickington were undertaken by CCD were also dismissed because the TDC Local Plan was already redetermined to serve the personal interests of the decisions makers within TDC and beyond.
- 3. 2006 Teignbridge Local Plan. TDC begins the process of developing a Local Development Framework (LDF) which would direct all future new development for the next 20 years. Deputy CEO Phil Shears is directly responsible with a duty to ensure the LDF is developed lawfully, while portfolio holder for strategic development Cllr Mike Haines is responsible for the locations chosen. Cllr Haines is also chair of planning committee at the same time, in breach of recognised procedure to safeguard impartiality. TDC choose to develop the LDF through the executive committee rather than an all-party independent committee which represents all members of

-

³ Permission Accomplished | Transparency International UK

society. Being leader of the council and chair of the executive committee, and an active member of planning committee Cllrs Alan Connett and Mike Haines have a conflict of interest in the LDF and planning committee. In addition to conflicts of interest, developing the LDF through the executive removes transparency and scrutiny of the process, and the process is a breach of constitution. The avoidance of due procedure enables the above named trio to bypass mandatory requirements of public consultation and allows them to engage their own predetermined draft policies. At planning committee, Cllrs Haines and Connett, and the head of planning Steve Robinson MRPTI began using the unadopted draft policies to determine planning applications, and wherever planning committee members object at the use of unadopted policy to determine a planning proposal, the political whip is engaged by Cllr Connett to ensure compliance. Note: the use of the whip by Alan Connett is well substantiated beyond conjecture and is unlawful in a quasi-judicial decision making process. The use of the whip by Cllrs Connett/Haines to predetermine proposals of which they both have failed disclose an interest, is a criminal offence.

- 4. **Flood Plain**. A key element of the 2006 LDF was that the bulk of the districts' development would be situated in one location, (known as the Northern Option) with one developer, (Bob Williams of Arnold White Estates AWE), (**Annexe I**) on category 2/3 floodplain. For floodplain and open countryside developments to be justified, TDC needed to demonstrate there to be no alternative locations and thus set about declassifying locations that were already included in the current local plan as suitable for development. With regard to Roberts' village, TDC misreported the infrastructure including availability of public transport and services within the village to justify a re-classification of 'unsustainable' and unsuitable for any new development. (see **Annexe K** Berry Knowle).
- 5. 2006 Jan **Planning Approved**. Robert submitted a planning application for a single dwelling on a small infill plot in the centre of a village. The proposal accorded with policies of the adopted local plan. Parish Council was unanimous in favour and there were 50 individual letters of support with no objections. The planning officer recommended refusal citing **unadopted draft policy** as grounds to refuse the application, but members of Planning Committee knew better and determined the application in accordance with the current adopted local plan.
- 6. 2006 Apr Maladministration. In realising that approval of the application would set a precedent to challenge their own interests, Cllrs Haines and Connett called for the decision of Planning Committee to be referred to Full Council to be re-assed. The act of referring a quasi-judicial decision made by a properly constituted body (planning committee) (on behalf of the Secretary of State), to Full Council is unlawful and a breach of constitution on several accounts, not least that a member must be trained in planning before they can take part in a decision making process, and a member wishing to vote must have been present⁴ for the entire debate of the

Referring the decision of Planning Committee to Full Council was unlawful, as verified in the Court of Appeal judgement in *The Spitalfields Historic Building Trust, R (On the Application Of) v London Borough Of Tower Hamlets* [2023] EWCA Civ 917.

⁴ At the Court of Appeal in *The Spitalfields Historic Building Trust, R (On the Application Of) v London Borough Of Tower Hamlets* [2023] EWCA Civ 917

- application. In his presentation to Full Council, Head of Planning Steve Robinson MRPTI misled untrained members that draft policy had been adopted, and with the use of the whip the decision of approval at planning committee was rescinded.
- 7. 2006 Apr **Fraud and Bribery.** Upon publication and circulation of the minutes of Full Council, statutory consultees English Heritage and the Parish Council lodged formal complaint of misrepresentation of their respective consultation submissions. In response, TDC produced another set of minutes in an attempt to hide malfeasance. Members refused to adopt the altered minutes which remain as evidence of fraud. In response to a complaint, external auditor PwC detailed the misrepresentations at Full Council, and the breaches of procedure in record keeping. TDC Head of Development Control seeks a bribe, which is refused. Talk of bribes is commonplace even to the sum involved (usually £5,000 cash). Note. The matter of bribery has been reported to the Police who did not follow it up.
- 8. **2006** Highways Fraud. DCC Highways produced a fraudulent consultation report which gave TDC planning officers' grounds to recommend refusal of Robert's application. Upon challenge, DCC highways officers admitted that highways consultations were steered to serve TDC planning recommendations, be they for or against, and irrespective of the purpose of the statutory consultation, being that of road safety. The fraud was verified by a TDC highways consultant and recorded by external auditors PwC, but only after the planning had been refused. DCC CEO Phil Norrey and Solicitor Roger Gash whitewashed and silenced the fraud. Misuse of highways statutory consultations are a key regular feature of TDC planning and one example of many is evidenced in Annexe A where, on the identical location of the same piece of road, and which has not been altered in anyway, a highways consultation recommended the road as being unsuitable to accommodate the traffic generated by a proposal of 3 extra residential dwellings. However, when Cllrs Haines and Bullivant want to promote the use of the same piece of road for more than 100 vehicles per hour, the application is approved. To enable the approval, Highways officers conveniently failed their statutory duty to provide a consultation, and the planning appeal inspector, in breach of procedure, allowed the appeal without the highways consultation. There is an established pattern of coincidence where the actions of DCC Development Manager for Highways and Transport, Brian Hensley, concur with the planning proposals for Cllr Mike Haines. Annexe A provides evidence of the fraud and a brief of other Highways submissions to serve interests of Cllr Mike Haines. DCC Highways statutory duties⁵ are being breached to serve predetermined planning decisions and allegations of criminality are being obstructed. DCC are also failing to respond to EIR requests to which a response is mandatory. DCC Leader of the Council John Hart, and the DCC Solicitor are abusing their respective offices to obstruct evidence of criminality, and a serious risk to the lives of primary school children.
- 9. 2007 Jan Misfeasance. The refusal was appealed to PINS. In breach of strict rules, Inspector Christopher Gethin who resides within the administration of TDC (TQ13 7HW) and whose daughter was working within the Council was appointed. Inspector Gethin also had business conflicts of interest with the appeal and would be in no doubt that he was disbarred by PINS Rules, the Inspectors Code of Conduct and the RTPI Code of Conduct. In presiding over the appeal Inspector Gethin refused to consider statutory consultations and determined the Appeal against

⁵ High Court judge hands down ruling on lawful scope of statutory consultation response(localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk)

the unadopted draft policies of the LDF. Within six months the LDF would be scrapped, and Robert left with a planning application and appeal determined *ultra-vires* against non-existent policy.

- 10. 2007 Apr Expert Witness. Complaint was made to the RTPI against evidenced breaches of the RTPI Code of Conduct by TDC Head of Planning, Steve Robinson MRPTI. The detailed allegations were not responded to other than to claim there was no evidence of wrongdoing. The RTPI findings were contrary to several legal opinions including Foot Anstey Solicitor Karen Trickey (planning agent) who advised "the Full Council's decision is procedurally improper", and leading planning specialist Charles George QC who reported "there has been at least ineptitude where Mr and Mrs Wakeling have suffered injustice". A detailed report setting out the many breaches of procedure and use of unadopted policy was prepared by Expert Witness Olorun Planning which Phil Shears has refused to consider. In addition, two totally separate independent RICs Surveys have been undertaken which prove beyond doubt that the written reports made by Senior Planning Officer Ian Perry MRPTI are fraudulent where he has tried to coverup wilful misrepresentations and altered official records.
- 11. 2007 Apr **Misfeasance.** Examining Inspector Neil Pope, appointed by the Secretary of State to ensure that the LDF was being developed lawfully, warned TDC that procedures had not been followed and that to continue developing the LDF would be a waste of his time and taxpayers' money. Under the direction of Deputy CEO **Phil Shears**, officers were instructed to ignore the warnings and continue development of the LDF.
- 12 2007 Apr Abuse of Power. Following directions on the PINS website, Robert requested a judicial review. PINS staff engaged with Robert advising on the procedure of a judicial review and that PINS must review the evidence before a judicial review could proceed. Robert was wilfully misled into believing correct procedure was being followed, until PINS staff announced that the judicial review time limit had expired. Complaint was made to PINS detailing the many breaches of procedure Inspector Gethin's' wilful breaches of the Inspectors Code of Conduct. Deputy CEO Leonora Rozee OBE responded in denial and then called Robert by telephone where she engaged in intimidation saying, "do you know who I am". CEO Katrine Sporle obfuscated the complaint despite hard evidence before her. Katrine Sporle failed to disclose her personal interest in the TDC LDF or her relationship with Cllr Haines.

Not known at this stage is that Cllr Mike Haines was holding meetings (undisclosed) in Exeter with PINS CEO Katrine Sporle to predetermine the TDC LDF. Haines also failed to declare his friendship with PINS Dep CEO Leonora Rozee, his employment with the RTPI where he worked alongside Rozee at the RTPI Summer Camp, his personal and pecuniary interests with RTPI Secretary General Robert Upton, and the arrangement of an £8000 money gift to Leonora Rozee after she had assisted in covering up the fraud by Inspector Christopher Gethin and CEO Katrine Sporle.

13. 2007 Jun **Fraud and Conspiracy to De-Fraud.** On Robert's behalf, constituency MP Richard Younger-Ross wrote to TDC with his concerns. Deputy CEO **Phil Shears** responded stating that RW's complaint has been <u>fully investigated in accordance with statutory duty</u> and no evidence of wrongdoing was found. However, in breach of constitution and mandatory directives of the Local

Government Act 1972, TDC were unable to produce any findings of investigation. The statements made by **Phil Shears** contradicted those made by the TDC Solicitor/Monitoring Officer Sue Aggett who refused (in writing) to investigated Robert's complaint. Furthermore, in his letter **Shears** made an unprompted reference to the live RTPI investigation and the allegations against Steve Robinson MRPTI which he claimed, "carry no substance". That **Shears** would choose to comment on a live and confidential investigation by RTPI adds weight to the concerns of conspiracy and fraud **Annexe L.**

- 14. 2007 Sept **Local Plan Scrapped.** Examining Inspector Neil Pope published his findings which identify multiple breaches of procedure and incompetence by TDC officials. The Secretary of State directed TDC to scrap the LDF which confirmed that Robert's planning application and appeal were determined *ultra vires* against draft policy which never reached adoption stage or lawful existence. Cost of scrapping of the LDF is substantive (estimates in the media range between £500k and £8m).
- 15. 2007 Oct **RTPI Conspiracy to Defraud.** Robert went back to the **RTPI** with evidence that he had a planning application and appeal determined against non-existent policy and irrefutable evidence of unlawful procedure, and breaches of the RTPI Code of Conduct. The RTPI responded advising that none of the scrapped policies affected the planning application (changed status of Bickington from classified rural settlement to open countryside) and that the draft policies were valid at the time of Robert's planning application. Note. The findings and statements issued by the RTPI are in direct conflict with the *Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, Section 38, (3)(b), (5) and (6),* and the TDC Constitution which forbids strictly, that draft policies must not be used to determine planning applications before the draft policies have been approved by the Secretary of State and adopted by the Council.
 - 16. 2008 **Standards Committee**. Robert was a member of the TDC Standards Committee elected by Teignbridge Association of Local Councils (TALC) to represent the interests of the Districts Town and Parish Councils. A primary concern being raised at TALC meetings was that planning

Conflicts of Interest

Chair of TDC Standards Committee Derek Phillips was also chair of the Police Standards Committee at the same time.

- Phillips was vice-president of Exeter Chamber of Commerce with members directly affected by the TDC Local Plan
- Held 16 Directorships in local businesses, some of which were affected by the TDC Local Plan
- Is a close friend of Devon CC CEO Phil Norrey, who was covering-up DCC Highways Consultations Fraud.

officers were continuing to use the draft LDF policies which had been scrapped, to determine planning applications. Upon reporting these concerns to Standards Committee, Robert was subjected to intimidation (written) from the Chair of Standards Committee Derek Phillips, Solicitor and Monitoring Officer Sue Aggett, and Council CEO Nicola Bulbeck. **Note.** There is a long established record of TDC Standards Committee being used by officers (overseen by Phil Shears) to intimidate whistleblowers and members who raised concerns of planning malpractice – see para 56.

- 17. 2008 Apr Police Investigation. Robert reported the intimidation and harassment to the Police who investigated and produced a witness statement consisting of 34 pages and 110 exhibits of fraud and conspiracy to defraud. Appearing extensively in the report is the correspondence of PINS CEO Katrine Sporle and Deputy Leanora Rozee OBE in which wilful breaches of procedure and obfuscation are evidenced. Also featured are the RTPI findings of investigation together with numerous statements from TDC which identify acts of misfeasance, alteration of official documents, and fraud by misrepresentation. The Standards Board for England (SBfE) Head of Legal wrote to Robert privately with evidence that an SBfE investigation into allegations against Cllr Haines had been misled by evidence supplied by TDC Democratic Services Manager Neil Aggett (husband to TDC Solicitor Sue Aggett). It was also found that TDC Solicitor Simon Barnes had lied about communications with SBfE.
- 18. 2008 Jul The RTPI was provided with more evidence and asked to reconsider the findings of investigation. In refusing to reopen the complaint, **Secretary General Robert Upton CBE** responded stating "In my view your concerns have been considered in three rigorous, impartial and unconnected procedures. None of these has found any grounds on which to support your complaint". **Robert Upton has lied and abused his position of trust** to hide his and his colleagues undisclosed personal and pecuniary interests.

2008. Hymec Aerospace began discussions with TDC planning officers for the creation of a science park next to the A38 near to Bickington. The proposal was for a high tech production facility to produce specialist parts for aircraft interiors, and also to provide a number of incubation units for hi tech start-up companies. The low visibility proposal included full screening proposals to improve the road network above existing conditions. The proposal was obstructed as it might affect Cllr Haines predetermined proposals for redevelopment of Seale-Hayne Agricultural College and farmlands.

Failure to disclose personal/pecuniary interests. When chairing and influencing TDC Planning Committee, and as Portfolio holder for strategic planning, **Cllr Mike Haines** has failed to declare predisposition and pecuniary interests:

- Meetings with property developers concerning the local plan and the routing of the South Devon Link Road, affecting major developments around Newton Abbot, i.e., Langford Bridge and Wolborough NA3.
- Undisclosed and predetermined interests in Seale-Hayne (NA1) and its environs.
- 19. 2008 Dec **Statutory Investigation Stopped**. Because **Phil Shears** was refusing to supply the findings of investigation and in light of new evidence, Robert lodged a new complaint with TDC Solicitor/Monitoring Officer Sue Aggett and Senior Auditor **Sue Heath**, under the TDC

TDC failure to investigate fraud is a breach of constitution while failure to produce findings of investigation is a breach of the Local Government Act 1974, and a breach of Human Rights Act Article 6 and the Right to a Fair Hearing.

Fraud and Anti-Corruption Policy. Following a meeting to discuss the allegations, Senior Auditor **Sue Heath** confirmed receipt of the evidence of fraud and promised to supply Robert with the findings of investigation once complete. After several months had passed, Robert applied to **Sue Heath** for an update, but **Sue Heath** could not be contacted. Robert continued to chase the findings and in December 2008, CEO Nicola Bulbeck emailed Robert informing that the investigation had been stopped.

- 20. 2009 Jan Apr Police fail to respond to CPS requests. The Police submitted their report to the CPS who requested the Police to submit the TDC findings of investigation. However the Police could no longer be contacted in the matter and failed to respond to the CPS requests to view the findings of investigation, on at least three subsequent occasions.
- 21. 2009 Aug. **Wilful Misrepresentation.** CEO Nicola Bulbeck sent a covert email to all members advising that the Police and the CPS could find no evidence of wrongdoing.

Conspiracy to Pervert the Course of Justice. The Police failure to respond to the CPS, and the stopping of the Police and TDC investigations is no coincidence. When it was realised that the Police investigation would identify criminal activity within PINS and the RTPI, any further action by Devon and Cornwall Police was stopped and prevented.

- 22. 2009 May. **Malfeasance.** TDC Head of Legal confirms that TDC will continue using the scrapped draft policies, to determine planning applications, *ultra vires*.
- 23. 2009 May. TDC ward member for Bickington, Cllr Jeremy Christophers reported to local newspaper MDA; "I feel that to judge an application on policies that have not been adopted damages the reputation of the Council and they need to be investigated by an independent body". The newspaper article records that TDC have never produced any findings of investigation into Robert's complaint and that Phil Shears is lying.
- 24. 2009 June **Fraud Recognised**. MP Richard Younger-Ross made a statement in the local newspaper calling for the Secretary of State John Denham to intervene 'I've asked them to look at it and potentially call the decision in. What Mr Wakeling is alleging concerns not just the planning process but improper use of local government', Mr Younger-Ross said. (Mid Devon Advertiser 26 June).
- 25. 2010 May. Mel Stride following a boundary change, Mel Stride is elected to serve the new constituency of Central Devon which encompasses Bickington. Constituency office staff (Phillip Vogel) who, as former Clerk of Bickington Parish Council, had witnessed the breaches of planning procedure, pleaded with Mel Stride to meet with Robert and discuss. Mel Stride refused to discuss or represent Robert (constituent) in the matter.

Conservative Government in Perpetuity. Mel Stride was at Oxford with William Hague, who introduced Stride to David Cameron. Stride was the first 'A list' parliamentary candidate to be appointed by Cameron in 2006. In 2007/8 Mel Stride began appearing at local government meetings which were called to discuss development of the local plan, and planning issues. Planning has been a key element in funding the Tory Party to enable a Tory Government in perpetuity.

26. 2012 Malfeasance Confirmed. TDC announce a new local plan which confirms that Bickington will not change to 'Open Countryside' status but remain as per the adopted local plan. Robert makes complaint to TDC that a) his planning application was determined against non-existent policy, b) that between 2007 and 2012, officers had referred to unadopted policy to unlawfully

obstruct a new planning application, c) that TDC had never supplied the findings of investigation which Phil Shears claim to hold, and which Robert was lawfully entitled to. Council Solicitor Duncan Moors responded with a threat of Police action if Robert pursued his complaint.

Undisclosed. CEO Nicola Bulbeck employed Robert Upton as consultant.

- 27. 2012 **Honours for Favours^{6 7} Katrine Sporle** awarded a **CBE** for her contributions in the planning system while there remain outstanding allegations of fraud and corruption with Dept. Communities, Housing and Local Government DCHLG against her.
- 28. 2014 Oct **Obfuscation.** Eventually **Mel Stride** agrees to represent Robert in the injustice caused by PINS management. However Stride's enquiry to the Secretary of State was couched to receive a predetermined response. Minister for Housing and Planning **Brandon Lewis MP** replied stating 'as you rightly point out, the planning system is administered at local level and neither the Department's Minister's or officials routinely intervene'. The CEO of PINS reports directly to the Minister for Planning (Brandon Lewis), who is directly responsible for the actions of the CEO. **How can a complaint of corruption against the CEO of PINS be a local matter?**
- 29. 2015 Feb. **Fraud.** Evidence of breaches of the RTPI Code of Conduct by RTPI members are sent to MD Sarah Drake who opens an investigation. **CEO Trudi Elliott CBE** intervenes and stops the investigation claiming there to be *'no evidence'*

Sarah Drake is the only person involved that is not ex local government.

- of wrongdoing'. Sarah Drake resigned her position with the RTPI and is currently CEO of the Chartered Governance Institute UK and Ireland. **Trudi Elliott was rewarded** with appointment as Chair of the Board of the Planning Inspectorate PINS in 2018.
- 30. 2015 Mar **Upheld.** ICO upholds Roberts Freedom of Information Complaint and instructs TDC to supply Robert with the findings of investigation which Phil Shears claims to have been conducted 'in accordance with statutory procedure' (Annexe L). The ICO directs that the

⁶ <u>Labour raise 'concerns about cash for favours' over Tory donor's property deal - Mirror Online</u>

⁷ https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/c7475b1f-6a5c-44c0-8cf6-3e731aed86b0?shareToken=4a2801cc0f3713d7cb15d939e1b56982

request should be treated as SARS under GDPR Rules, and there are no exemptions to supply. TDC fails to supply the findings.

- 31. 2017 June **Police.** A report is sent to the Office of the Police Crime Commissioner Alison Hernandez with new evidence of malfeasance including new evidence of undisclosed interests previously not considered. The OPCC directs Robert to Fraud Unit Manager Neil Blackhurst 57062 who arranges for Robert to meet with Investigator David Buckley 57235 at Newton Abbot Police Station, 30 August 9:30am. The complaint and new evidence are obstructed.
- 32. 2017 Nov. **BBC Countryfile.** Bickington feature in the series 'Villages on the Verge' and presenter Tom Heap strolls around the village noting the absence of people and lambasting residents for allowing the village to fall into decline without addressing the housing needs of younger people and families with children.

Police wilful breaches of procedure. It was not realised at this stage that trust in the Police Commissioner was misplaced. Neil Blackhurst and David Buckley obstructed the complaint and gaslighted Robert to believe that the criminal activity being recorded, was not a Police matter. Neil Blackhurst tried to intimidate Robert and it turned out that the original investigator Harry Sleeman (now retired) was Blackhurst's and Buckley's former CO and whom they were still in contact with. It would appear that pressure was brought to bear on Harry Sleeman not to proceed with the original complaint hence the CPS was never responded to. Under the control of PCC Alison Hernandez, Neil Blackhurst was forewarned to obstruct the case, and which would save any further embarrassment and exposure of Police misconduct.

- 33. 2017 Nov **Western Morning News** in a feature entitled 'Gloomy picture of rural England' as villages lose pub, shops, and schools, Bickington is the focus where several residents are interviewed about the decline of the village. "what Bickington needs above all is more housing to bring more people to the village".
- 34. 2018 Jan **Council holds no record of findings**. In pursuit of the Phil Shears findings of investigation, Monitoring Officer Neil Aggett responds to an official FOI communication confirming that the Council holds no record of any investigation contrary to the claims of Phil Shears.
- 35. 2018 23 Jan **New Complaint.** On the basis that TDC holds no record of investigation, officers **Sue Heath**, Sue Aggett, Neil Aggett and Duncan Moors, have breached adopted procedure and are identified in acts of intimidation, and obfuscation. TDC confirms the matter will be investigated and responded to.
- 36. 2018 Jul **Payoffs**. Announced in the media, Phil Shears has arranged a golden handshake payoff of £250,000 for Sue and Neil Aggett who are being investigated for fraud.

Phil Shears is estimated to have misused more than £1m of taxpayers' money in payoffs to officers involved in the coverup of planning fraud. CEO Nicola Bulbeck was the highest at a reported £320,000 when she resigned with another job ready to step into. Why are such large payoffs being made, if at all, when all the payees involved are evidenced in acts of misconduct? Is there detail which **Phil Shears** wants to hide?

1st February 2024. Police open investigations into £1m staff payoffs at Northumberland CC which were not approved by members, between 2017 and 2022.

- 37. 2018 Jul **Fraud**. **Phil Shears** responds to the allegations against **Sue Heath**, the Aggetts and Duncan Moors and claims to have studied the **original findings of investigation** to determine that there is no evidence of wrongdoing.
- 38. 2018 Aug **Fraud.** A new Subject Access Request (SAR) request is lodged to view the findings of investigation that **Phil Shears** refers to and which are personal to Robert. TDC is in breach of the Freedom of Investigation Act (FOIA). Whether or not the investigation findings actually exist is a matter of speculation, but either way Phil Shears does not want the matter to be considered as it will expose corruption between TDC, PINS, and the RTPI.

Punishment and Harassment for whistleblowing During 2018, TDC revise the Bickington village envelope into 4 parts so that the curtilage of Robert's property, which is located in the centre of the village, is drawn outside of the development envelope. Robert's property is the only one subjected to such treatment, and which enables TDC to class any subsequent planning application as being 'open countryside' as against being in the centre of the village and surrounded by built environment on all sides. Exchanges of correspondence with Phil Shears verify his wilful intent to cause harm and loss and deny Robert services of the council available to all other users of the TDC planning system.

39. 2018 **Fraud.** Planning Determination. Robert submits a new planning application which is to be determined by officers under delegated authority. Noticeable is how planning officer Angharad Williams MRPTI uses different criteria to that used in other applications in the same vicinity, for which she is the planning officer. Williams discriminatory and fraudulent acts to obstruct the application are blatant and without shame. The application is refused against unidentified policy which appears to have no existence. It is mandatory policies used to determine an application must be identified in a determination notice but TDC refuse to identify the policy. A subsequent EIR request (EIR cannot lawfully be refused) is submitted to view the policy which is also declined. In 2020, the Information Commissioner (ICO) confirmed that TDC hold no record of such policy. This is the third planning application that has been determined (refused) against non-existent policy, and which rules out administrative error. On each and every occasion the planning fraud was performed by a chartered member of the RTPI. 'We are responsible for maintaining professional standards'⁸

⁸ RTPI | About us

40. Nov 2019. Fraud. Having established that the 2018 planning application had been determined ultra vires, the application was resubmitted. Senior planning officer Peter Thomas MRPTI misrepresented the facts of the application in his planning report but failed to correct the errors and omissions when formally notified. The application was supported by Bickington PC, the county ward member and the two Teignbridge members, who, having learnt of the misrepresentations, requested the application be called in to Planning Committee. Cllr Mike Haines chaired the meeting where he failed to declare his personal interests and predetermined interests in the case. Cllr Jackie Hook partnered with Haines and led the meeting in which the facts of the application were misrepresented. Ms Hook was portfolio holder for Housing at that time and failed to declare her predetermined interests in the application. Both Haines and Hook engaged in the voting process in which they both had personal and occupational conflicts of interest. Haines instructed the meeting that the minor application could not be considered during a local plan consultation period and therefore should be refused. The statement of Haines is contrary National Planning Policy Framework NPPF and PAS Policy Guidelines. This is the fourth time an application has been determined in breach of procedure and planning law.

Undisclosed Interests Leader of the Council Jeremy Christophers has made an unexplained U-turn on his advocacy of the need for new development in Bickington and refused to request that the planning application be taken away from officers and referred to Planning Committee for consideration. Christophers claimed that Planning Head Nick Davies had requested him not to refer the application out of officer's control. Christophers was instrumental in gaining approval for the A382 road improvements which Teignbridge taxpayers have contributed £9m to, and which has been redeveloped beyond measures required for reasons of safety, into a major road to serve other purposes not disclosed. Recent events however suggest that predetermined major developments are behind the elaborate road which will reduce development costs at the tax payer's expense. In what appears to be a quid pro quo arrangement, Christophers efforts are rewarded with a predetermined residential development on the outskirts of Kingsteignton planning ref. 23/02302/MAJ. The development opportunity appears not to have been offered on the open market in breach of the Localism Act 2011. Christophers is a major funder and person of influence in the project, but his name does not appear in the application which is being fronted by Devon Custom Homes Ltd. Annexe B Cllr Christophers makes a U-turn

Officer Nick Davies leaves TDC to take up new employment with PINS as Planning Inspector.

Annexe L Berry Knowle identifies that the same area promoted by Haines/Connett/Shears in the 2006 LDF, and which was scrapped by the Secretary of State in 2007, has been granted approval by stealth, in breach of the P&CP Act and the need to consult, in breach of NPPF Rules which forbid consideration of a major development during a consultation period, and without disclosing conflicts of interest. Cllr Jackie Hook is indicted in the criminal activity as detailed in para 41.

Abuse of public office

Cllr Mike Haines is a Professional and Expert in matters of planning. He has been employed in a professional capacity by the RTPI to lecture on the development of local plans, and his is a nominated national peer for the Government funded Planning Advisory Service PAS. It is understood that Cllr Jackie Hook also holds a professional planning qualification (not confirmed). Both hold positions of high responsibility and trust within TDC and beyond.

2020 Fraud and Conspiracy to Defraud. Not long after the 2019 refusal in Bickington, Cllrs 41. Haines and J Hook teamed up to approve a major planning application at Berry Knowle ref. 16/02693/MAJ. The location is in open countryside and a departure from the adopted local plan. NPPF Policy directs that major planning applications must not be considered during a consultation as there is a real danger that the direction of the local plan would be predetermined. Berry Knowle is in the location of the 'Northern Option' which Cllr Haines and Phil Shears tried to include in the 2006 LDF, and which developer Bob Williams of AWE is/was involved. The officers report differs greatly from the local community findings regarding flood plain, and the findings of the Secretary of State in 2007, who directed against any development at Berry Knowle and its environs due to the high risk of flooding. To reduce developer costs however, and at the taxpayers expense, the over engineered improvements to the A382 have been made – see Annexe A1, para A4, A382 upgrade, and Annexe C. Sustainability. Haines failed to declare his meetings with Robert Upton and Leonora Rozee, to which Bob Williams attended, in breach of TDC code of conduct. Mike Haines had a conflict of interest and should not have voted or taken part in the approval of Berry Knowle in 2020. That Haines chaired the planning committee to approve Berry Knowle and abuse his position to promote a major development for which he had undisclosed personal interests, is a criminal offence. Equally, Phil Shears abusing his office to prevent any investigation into malfeasance in a matter which he has a personal interest, has also committed a criminal offence as has Monitoring Officer Paul Woodhead who is abusing his position to obstruct any investigation.

Unlawful Development

Permission Accomplished. With the assistance of Phil Shears, Cllr Mike Haines has an established track record of promoting and approving major planning proposals during a consultation period, in breach of planning law, and TDC constitution. See **Annexe C**

Breach of Charitable Status There appears to be a mutually beneficial arrangement whereby local planning authorities insist that all planning staff are members of the RTPI, in exchange for the RTPI covering up and whitewashing breaches of planning procedure. The RTPI is an International organisation which, in failing to uphold its declared values and comply with its declared charitable status, is bringing the reputation of the UK planning system into disrepute.

- 42. 2019 2021 **Formal complaint is submitted to the RTPI** against breaches of the RTPI Members Code of Conduct, on two occasions, which the RTPI refuse to consider. **CEO Victoria Hills MRPTI** is unconcerned that that the RTPI is operating in breach of charitable status or royal chartered status, and no concern that the planning system is being brought into disrepute by chartered RTPI members⁹. Most of the management of PINS and the RTPI, identified with fraud and corruption, are chartered members of the RTPI.
- 43. **Forgery.** Between 2019 and 2023 **Mel Stride** is provided with evidence of mis/malfeasance by senior management of the Planning Inspectorate PINS with which he is requested to represent Robert's concerns. In one response which Stride purports to have received from Minister **Robert Jenrick**, the contents of the email are fraudulent and are a paste and copy of a Ministerial response to matters in South Kesteven in Lincolnshire and passed off as a response to concerns in Teignbridge. See **Annexe D** for emails and letters.
- Malfeasance. In his capacity as Chair of the Treasury Select Committee on Economic Crime, Mel Stride is provided with evidence that CEO of PINS Sarah Richards MRPTI is operating a commercial business with her architect husband which submits planning appeals on behalf of major developers. The business website boasts more than 400 successful planning considerations which is contrary to Sarah Richards disclosure 'infrequently submits appeals on behalf of clients' and which Chair of the Board Trudi Elliott CBE MRPTI has managed to overlook despite the information being readily available online. In her disclosure to PINS Ms Richards states 'no payment is received' in reference to other planning development companies to which she and her husband own. Mel Stride refuses to act on the evidence although the Morris Richards website suddenly disappears, and Sarah Richards unexpectedly resigns¹⁰ her position as CEO of PINS.
- 45. Nov 2019 **Conspiracy to Defraud.** Following the refusal of the planning proposal (para 40.) TDC are notified that the decision is a departure from National Planning Policy Framework policy (NPPF) whereupon it is mandatory for the planning authority (TDC) to explain and justify the reasons for the departure. Cllr Jackie Hook refuses to comply with mandatory procedure in breach of statutory duty, council constitution, and the Equality Act 2010. Bickington Ward Member John Nutley take the matter up with Monitoring Officer **Karen Trickey** who repeatedly delays her response for three months while she and **Sue Heath** were assist ICO **Solicitor Sapna Gangani** to fabricate an allegation of vexatious. Once complete, the allegation of vexatious was used by TDC as grounds not to respond to any further communications from Robert, including allegations of discrimination, and evidence of undisclosed interests in major planning determinations. Irrelevant of any claim of vexatious, TDC cannot lawfully refuse to respond to allegations of discrimination or criminal activity.
 - 47. **Conspiracy to Defraud.** At the same time as **Karen Trickey's** delays, the ICO were also reporting continual delays in an investigation in to TDC failure to supply the findings of investigation (para 30 and 35), and the ICO investigator explained that the cause of delay was due to interference in her investigation. It became obvious that the delays of TDC and the ICO

⁹ code-of-conduct-newcover2017.pdf (rtpi.org.uk)

¹⁰ Planning Inspectorate Chief Executive announces departure - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

were linked, and that TDC knew of the Information Commissioners findings of investigation months before it was published. Karen Trickey stalled for time to enable a claim of 'vexatious' to be cited, as grounds not to uphold her mandatory duty.

Conspiracy to Defraud by unfair advantage to serve personal interests

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Payments

Explanation. The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a planning charge, introduced by the Planning Act in 2008, as a tool for local authorities in England and Wales to help deliver infrastructure for new development. However, as evident in Annexe E, TDC are abusing the intended purpose of the CIL and are charging levy of 70% on existing areas with infrastructure, whilst at the same time charging a reduced levy on remote areas without infrastructure, and which is witnessed in the haphazard CIL levy zone plan in Annexe E. The CIL levy zones bear no resemblance to the cost or provision of new infrastructure but is drawn to favour areas where there are undisclosed interests, and which have been predetermined for inclusion in the local plan. Notwithstanding the criminality involved, the resultant harm is significant where:

- i. The taxpayer has to fund infrastructure that should be borne by the developer.
- ii. Development in the existing built environment is deterred due to excessive costs.
- iii. The policy creates an artificial demand for development and homes in the predetermined areas.
- iv. Building on flood plain is a time bomb with rising sea levels and unsustainable.
- v. The policy of Cllr Jackie Hook to develop areas of important habitat to rare and endangered species is an ecological disaster, and beyond irresponsible when there are areas more suitable for development readily available and with existing infrastructure, within the district.
- vi. New developments of 1,000s of homes in one location is out of character with Newton Abbot and its surrounds.
- 48. Conspiracy to Defraud. The Information Commissioners decision was published 30 January 2020, and which found that Robert was 'vexatious' and TDC was entitled to refuse to supply the requested information, contrary to the earlier ruling that there were no exemptions to GDPR SAR requested information. Upon realisation of the collusion, Robert complained ICO investigator Claire Walsh who responded, "I hope you don't think that I am involved". A subsequent SAR request to TDC reveals extensive collusion between TDC Senior Auditor Sue Heath, and ICO Solicitor Sapna Gangani in which Sue Heath asks Sapna Gangani "is this the sort of thing you are looking for", whereas the Information Commissioner should only be looking at the facts presented and not assisting TDC to fabricate an allegation of vexatious. Other facts revealed in the TDC SAR request is that former **'Business** Manager' Thornley (head of development control?) challenged the TDC official statement of 'no wrongdoing' in Robert's planning determination 2006, and the use of unadopted draft LDF policy. Soon after, Simon Thornley was found to no longer be employed by TDC.
- 49. Mar 2020. **Breach of DATA Protection Act.** The decision of the Information Commissioner was appealed to the FOIA First tier Tribunal, and in April ICO Solicitor **Sapna Gangani** supplied the Tribunal with a list of correspondence between Robert and TDC in support of the allegation of

vexatious. Included in the correspondence however was some private emails between Robert and Cllr Gordon Hook on Gordon's private personal email address. Alarmed at finding the ICO to be in possession of Robert's private DATA, Gordon was asked for an explanation. Several exchanges revealed that Gordon had no idea of the ICO Tribunal, that he did not consider Robert to be in anyway vexatious, and that he had no idea how the private DATA came to be in the possession of **Sue Heath** and the ICO. Gordon later sent two emails explaining that his emails had been hacked. Robert alleges that it was Cllr **Jackie Hook** who accessed Gordon Hook's emails and supplied them, without consent to dual hatted **Sue Heath** who is also TDC Data Protection Officer DPO and cannot lawfully be involved in the case due to a conflict of interests – **Annexe G.**

- 50. **Public Trust in the Judiciary in decline**. Breaches of Tribunal Rules and conflicts of interest within the Judiciary, to prevent the release of TDC findings of investigation into Robert's case, have resulted in some dangerous groundbreaking precedents which are a threat to democracy. 'a considerable proportion of the population as a whole reports a declining trust in judges' ¹¹ **Conflicts of Interest Annexe H** More than 200 judges and magistrates have been formally disciplined for misconduct in the last four years¹²
- 51. 2020 **Devon and Cornwall Police** breaches of procedure. D&C Pollice were investigating Robert's case Crime Reference Number CR/052092/20, although not without numerous breaches of procedure including repeatedly losing the same evidence on 3 occasions and failing to follow up on the TDC findings of investigation which **Phil Shears** and the Council Solicitor repeatedly referred to as grounds to dismiss evidence of fraud. As a consequence of the repeated failings Detective Inspector Julie Scholes 14914 was appointed to oversee the case, and to who Robert reported the stolen DATA. In dismissing the complaint, DI Scholes informed that cyber-crimes were never pursued because the hacker can rarely if ever be traced. It was explained that TDC officer **Sue Heath** must know how the stolen information came into her possession, and that the DATA was being unlawfully used by ICO Solicitor **Sapna Gangani** to mislead an Appeal at a Freedom of Information Act FOIA Tribunal. Notwithstanding the act of obtaining and using personal data (without permission) to wilfully mislead a court of law, ICO Solicitor **Sapan Gangani** and TDC Senior Auditor **Sue Heath** have committed a further criminal act under the Data Protection Act 2018. **See Annexe G.**
- 52. July 2020 Jun 2022. **Police Ineptitude**. Robert refers the Police to their adopted policy D022 and requests that, due to the identified conflicts of interests, policy directs that any investigation must be performed by a third party. The Police refuse to comply with the request and proceeded to conduct an internal investigation in breach of procedure. For two years the case is subjected to layer upon layer of bureaucracy and administrative errors until eventually Robert receives a communication from a complaint review officer who appears to be the only person in the Constabulary who is able to interpret policy and procedure:

¹¹ <u>Ground-breaking polling YouGov: trust in the judiciary - Good Law Project</u>

Sacked, reprimanded, and forced to resign: hundreds of judges censured for misconduct, new figures show (msn.com)

Further to our previous emails in respect of your review request I am pleased to be able to confirm that the IOPC has confirmed to Devon & Cornwall Police that they have accepted my and your view that they are the correct review body.

You should hear from the IOPC soon, if you have not already. Their reference number for your review is 2021/159867

Please take this email as confirmation that I will withdraw and close your review request to the OPCC.

I am sorry it took a while to sort this for you

The exchanges of correspondence simply for the Police to interpret and apply policy took more than 50 emails/letters, and 2 years in time, during which, new evidence of serious crime is neither acted upon nor responded to.

53. Formal Complaints to Chief Constable Shaun Sawyer not responded to.

Three formal complaints of breaches of procedure, failure to act on evidence of serious crime, and complaints against TDC **Phil Shears** for abuse of power, harassment, and discriminatory actions in breach of the Equality Act, are neither acted upon nor responded to.

54. **IOPC Malfeasance**

08 June 2022. IOPC Casework Manager Peter Hunt delivers his findings into the complaint instigated by the Police against themselves. At the start of the investigation, evidence was forwarded to Peter Hunt who confirmed it would form part of his investigation. Allowing only a couple of working days for investigation, Peter Hunt produced his findings of 'no significant fault' and advised that the Police should not proceed further with the case. By his own written admission, he had not looked at the supporting evidence critical to any consideration of the case. Suspicions were heightened at the number of inaccuracies found in the findings which tallied with identified police misreporting, and subsequently it was found that Peter Hunt had been meeting with the Police to discuss the case prior to opening his investigation. The IOPC refused to consider evidence of malfeasance and breaches of procedure and obstructed any complaint. Conveniently for the IOPC there is no right of appeal against an IOPC decision. "The investigative process itself was minimal, unprofessional and the decision-making was flawed" Former High Court Judge denounces the IOPC.

55. **OPCC Police and Crime Commissioner Misfeasance**

August 2022. A SAR request reveals that Police and Crime Commissioner **Alison Hernandez** is, by way of unlawful redaction, misusing the office of OPCC to hide evidence of Police/IOPC malfeasance. When Robert tried to contact the original Complaint Review Officer who appeared to be the only person in the Constabulary able to interpret police policy, Robert's email was intercepted and blocked by the Business Support and Customer Services Manager

¹³ former High Court judge has denounced the IOPC

who advised: 'In relation to your request to Mrs Reece for her understanding of the matters to which you refer (which I can advise Mrs Reece did not receive), I would reiterate that the OPCCs role in these matters has concluded, and we are unable to provide you with any further assistance in relation to them'.

The email from the Business Support and Customer Services Manager confirms:

- Evidence of criminality is being obstructed
- ii. Robert's communications are being intercepted to prevent any consideration of his complaint.

The **Police and Crime Commissioner** has been obstructing evidence of crime since February 2022 and is in breach of her sworn oath of office, reproduced in **Annexe F.**

Extract from the sworn oath Alison Hernandez

- I will give a voice to the public, especially victims of crime, and work with other services to ensure the safety of the community and effective criminal justice.
- I will take steps within my power to ensure transparency of my decisions, so that I may be properly held to account by the public.
- 56. January 2023. **Systemic Problems.** The Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman (LGSCO) takes the rare step of making public its findings of investigation into a complaint raised by TDC Cllr Richard Daws regarding failure to follow procedure in a Standards investigation relating to matters of planning and development control. The LGSCO concluded:
 - 77. We find fault by the Council causing injustice to Councillor Daws. We recommend the Council take the action described above to remedy that injustice.
 - 78. We have published this report because we consider it in the public interest to do so, given the injustice caused to the complainant and the wider systemic problems the complaint has revealed.
 - Cllr Richard Daws is now the TDC Leader of the Opposition and South Devon Alliance of Independent Councillors
- 57. Feb 2023 **Misconduct & Conspiracy to Defraud**. The TDC draft local plan is published, and which declares Bickington to be unsustainable on the grounds that there is no primary school or shop. An EIR request is made for the criteria that discounts the village primary school and two shops long established. The EIR response states that the shop in the village could close at any time (been open 25+ years) and the road between Blackpool School and Bickington is too steep! Given that the road gradient is more shallow than other schools in the locality, and that the gradient is not an issue for other new developments that **Clir Jackie Hook** has pushed through development control, identifies wilful misrepresentation for personal gain, and abuse of position to cause harm and loss.

- 58. Aug 2023 **Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards**. In consideration of the allegations of forgery of a ministerial document and dishonesty against Mel Stride MP, the Commissioner advises that **the Police are the appropriate body**.
- 59. Sep 2023 Clerk of the Committees of Standards and of Privileges advises that the Committee has no power to initiate investigations into complaints against MP's. The Clerk further states 'The alleged misconduct by MPs which you refer to, such as deliberate forgery of documents, seems to me likely to involve criminality. Any allegations that the law has been broken are a matter for the police in the first instance rather than the parliamentary authorities and should be raised with the police'.
- 60. Oct 2023. **Leader of the Council Martin Wrigley.** In response to a report and allegations of malfeasance against TDC MD Phil Shears:

'If you have any information that should be put before the authorities, then I recommend that you contact the police to do so. I have been advised that there is nothing more that Teignbridge can do on this matter'.

It is assumed that the advice came from **TDC Solicitor and Monitoring Officer Paul Woodhead** who is abusing his position to conceal and obstruct evidence of malfeasance against the best interest of Teignbridge'

- 61. Oct 2023 Local Government Ombudsman advises the matter should be reported to the Police.
- 62. **Cabinet Office** refuse to accept responsibility for the allegations against (now minister) Mel Stride or the forgery of ministerial documents.
- 63. Nov 2023 **Conservative Party HQ** refuse to investigate allegations of misconduct that will bring the party into disrepute, and confirm that **forgery** of ministerial documents and **dishonesty**, are **not breaches** of the Conservative Code of Conduct.
- 64. Oct 2023 Report of the above crimes submitted to **Police ACC Jim Colwell** no response.
- 65. 07 Jan 2024 Email to **Paul Woodhead** with evidence that he has made false representations to the LGSCO about the case, and that he is failing to report evidence of criminal activity to the Police, contrary to statutory duty. 7 day letter warning no response.
- 66. 17 Jan 2024 Letter to **ACC Jim Cowell** with new evidence of fraud against Paul Woodhead no response.

There is an invisible force at play which is preventing any investigation into criminal activity within the RTPI, and which is allowing those involved to engage in felonious activities without fear of being held to account for their actions.

67. 02 February 2024 **ICO Breaches.** Data supplied by the ICO to fulfil SAR Request identifies that the Information Commissioner has committed 3 breaches of the DATA Protection Act and GDPR Regulations, one of which is listed as a criminal offence in the FOIA. **Annexe G** Note: It

is believed that Information Commissioner John Edwards has no knowledge of this case, or the actions being taken in his name.

- 68. 15 Feb 2024 **Obfuscation** Response received from Police Professional Standards who have been forwarded my complaint to ACC Jim Cowell. The response does not address any of the allegations but seeks to obfuscate the evidence of Police breaches of procedure.
- 69. 29 Feb 20 24 **Police Misconduct** IOPC SARS response reveals that records submitted by DI Scholes and relied upon by the IOPC to dismiss Robert's complaint, are incorrect, misleading, and misrepresented.
- 70. 11 Mar 2024 **Police Misconduct Professional Standards** notified of the incorrect Police reports and are requested to correct Police records. Professional Standards are not interested and obstruct the request

71. **CONCLUSION**

The wilful decimation of Town and Village to serve personal interests

18 years of scheming for personal gain, 14 years manipulating the planning system to serve personal interests¹⁴, and 9 years of sole control which has left the reputation of the judiciary, Police, planning system and other regulatory bodies in tatters, whilst at the same time removing all accountability in local government.

The inclusion of a 500m stretch of dual carriageway on the A383 from Drum Bridges and the approval of a major planning proposal (**Annexe A Delegated Report**) under delegated powers *ultra vires* (unless the constitution has been secretly altered (alleged to happen in TDC)), adds weight to the substantial evidence that the TDC Local Plan has, once again, been predetermined.

It is beyond coincidence that DCC/TDC are unwilling to consider the concerns of business owners in Newton Abbot¹⁵ when there exist undeclared interests in out of town business developments worth hundreds of millions of pounds, and it is beyond coincidence that Cllr Phil Bullivant chose to support the unnecessary proposal on Staple Hill Road (Annexe A) in an area that has been predetermined without consultation, for major development.

On 14 March 2024, TDC Head of Paid Service has authorised the submission of the TDC 2022 -2042 Local Plan, to the Secretary of State, for approval. Despite being aware that the Local Plan has not been developed in accordance with the P&CPP Act, he has authorised the formal submission of a legal document in full knowledge of the wilful misrepresentations contained within, and failure to perform statutory consultations required to make the document lawful.

-

^{14 5} years in coalition with the Liberal Democrat Party who have continued with the Tory policy in Teignbridge

¹⁵ Newton Abbot Queen Street plans criticised by businesses - BBC News

Annexe C is a list of services/infrastructure in Bickington which is repeatedly omitted in any appraisal of the village by portfolio Cllr **Jackie Hook**, and wilfully misrepresented by Phil Shears to a quasi-judicial planning process, in the name of the Secretary of State.

Phil Shears. Corruption within regulatory bodies and government agencies has enabled officers and members of TDC to be accountable to no-one and above the law. Without fear of challenge or retribution, Phil Shears is misusing taxpayers' money to block investigations, hide undisclosed interests, and quash evidence of malfeasance that is causing harm in the district and beyond. He is aiding and abetting regulatory officers to breach their statutory duties and has brought the council into disrepute on several accounts, not least the wilful misuse of £millions of taxpayers' money.

The Police, steered the Police Commissioner and in the face of serious criminal activity, are choosing not to enforce the law and apprehend individuals identified in criminal activity, due to a conflict of interests¹⁶.

Misinformation. A spokesperson for the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities said: "We are committed to ensuring accountability and scrutiny across local government and that monitoring officers are equipped with powers to robustly tackle breaches of conduct, including barring councillors from cabinet, committees or representative roles¹⁷.

"While councils are ultimately responsible for their own finances, we will not hesitate to intervene and protect taxpayers' money where they do not meet the high standards we set."

Except Teignbridge District Council where undisclosed political interests have elevated the council and its officers above the law.

72. Royal Town Planning Institute – Deceiving the public and acting contrary to Charter

'We are the voice of the profession. We engage with governments, experts, advocates and international bodies to promote good planning, lead on policy development and research, and promote planning in the long-term public interest. We support our members to deliver outstanding placemaking that creates inclusive, healthy, prosperous, sustainable and happy communities.

We are committed to creating a diverse and inclusive profession and we have a dedicated <u>action plan</u> setting out how we will achieve this. We are also committed to advancing the art and science of planning. Through our <u>corporate strategy</u> we work for the long-term common good and wellbeing of current and future generations.'18

73. Human Rights Article 3, and the right to be free from serious mental abuse.

https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/4b1bee81-7e3a-4006-b6dd-9f2d3f540f81?shareToken=0eca60131af5f48de66ed1341ffa5d6c

¹⁷ Lawyers raise alarm at struggle to tackle UK local government corruption | Local government | The Guardian

¹⁸ RTPI | About us

For 18 years Robert has been subjected to public humiliation, wilful degradation of his name, threat, intimidation, and constant denial of his right to a fair hearing. He has been treated discriminatorily where he has constantly been denied the facilities and services of public authorities enjoyed by others' and he has been caused to live in constant fear of reprisal and attack, for whistle blowing on public sector criminality.

'Article 3 also imposes a **positive obligation** on public authorities to protect you from serious ill-treatment by other individuals' and yet at every level including the Court of Appeal, the fundamental right to a fair hearing has been denied, to protect personal pecuniary interests and **corruption within the Royal Town Planning Institute**.

Robert and his wife and daughters medical records identify the harm arising from a continual denial of rights, and malicious actions made with intent to cause harm and mental torture. For years Phil Shears has been formally notified of the suffering and loss as a direct result of his actions, only for Phil Shears to step up his intent to cause Robert harm. Robert has been signed of as unfit for work for extended periods of time (at his own expense) caused by the misconduct, and which has had a serious impact on his mental health, and that of his immediate family.

74. Socio-Economic Harm

The damage caused by corruption, to local communities and the SME's who are the lifeblood of local economies, is devasting and immeasurable.

75. **Comment**

It would be of significant gain if the egoistical gimmicks that have crept into local authorities were stopped. Naming public officials 'Business Managers' and calling the public 'Customers' only serves to inflate ideas of self-importance, sow confusion, and detract from the very purpose of the public role. In the real world, if any of the above authorities had to ply their trade in an open market, they would have neither business nor customer.

76. Other Evidence

This report of criminality within TDC is not isolated as evident at Full Council Meetings where accusations of conspiracy to defraud are a regular call from councillors and members of the public alike. Under the lead of **Phil Shears**, assisted by Monitoring Officer Paul Woodhead, and Senior Auditor/DPO Sue HeathTDC has been brought into disrepute.

77. Final Word

'The Magic of Teignbridge Planning Department' Annexe M first published 2018

Two adjoining locations – One, an established village with infrastructure a TDC survey records no need for housing while in other, in open countryside with no infrastructure, and on a site where decision makers have undisclosed interests, TDC finds the need for 500+ dwellings!

¹⁹ Your right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman way - Citizens Advice